	E ONLY ROUTING	G AND	RECOPI	D SHEET
UBJECT: (Optional)			- RECOKI	
• • •	t dated 1	2 Augus	t - Effi	ciency Evaluations
ROM: Acting Chief	aitar Caar		EXTENSION	NO.
Information Systems Security Office of Security		ιP		1 3 JUL 1976
O: (Officer designation, room number, and wilding)	D	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment
OS/PPG	STAT			I would suggest removal of your current item 7 on the listing of
Attn:	JIAI			Efficiency Evaluation Subject. The reasoning behind this is
				the possible difficulty in
3.				responding to future questions which I expect would follow -
				if it became a selected subject.
4.				If additional subject items are needed, the Top Secret Control
5.	+			Automated Data System (TSCADS) and the Top Secret Control (TSC)
				Program may be appropriate for
6.				consideration. It is expected that the TSC program using TSCADS
				will provide increased effective- ness and efficiency in all
7.			,	phases of Top Secret control.
	<u> </u>			If further elaboration is needed
8.				on either of these subjects, please let us know so we can
9.	+			i'lay some appropriate words on
•				you".
0.			STAT	
11.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
			 - 	
5.				

SSIFIED	ONLY		CONFIDENTIAL SECRET
Approved F	CHILDIG 2001/08	RECOR	마녀 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등 등
SUBJECT: (Optional) Efficiency Eval STAT	uations		
FROM:		extension	NO.
Policy and Plans Group 4E 58, Hqs.	STAT		DAYE 12 August 1976
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
c/ssc	12 legte	BL	Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions
2. PPG			for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has
3. 4E584			prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78
			Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition
5.			of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more
6.			efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.
7.			Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for
8.			efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted.
9.			You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.
10.			Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.
			Morriconnelation
12.			STAT
13.			
14.			AND CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE
15.			

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

FÂOAN:	क्षिट्संडल 2	002/08/15		
FÂOA4:		3 02,00,10	: CIA-RL	DP83B00823R000400040027-1
Policy and Plans Group	er en	and the state of t	EXTENSION	NO.
4E 58, Hqs.		•		DATE 12 August 1976 STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	D.	FORWARDED.	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
DD/PTOS	12 AUG			Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the
2 A C/TSD	,	12avg	140	Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.
3.				The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached,
4. PIPG /05 4E S8 Itan	an managan an a		And the start of t	based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each
5.			Andrew Control of the	of these items would fit the defini of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
6.				achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve
7-	eren er		angkangkan di Santan	program objectives. Please review the list and determine
S.				if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among the
?.			eterretagian umayan ya ya zaz	listed you believe should be deleted You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.
0.	***************************************			Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.
11.				12 aug 76
2.			سينمهم بهايو ود سيدت تحييج القيافيدوس	150 hor no
3.				suggestion for chang
4.			STAT	Phy 5D also
5.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	phy 50 also no suggestion per C/Ahy 50 13 ang 76

	CNLY		CONFIDENTIAL SECRET
Approved F	NOTIFIES 20070	8/RECOR	RD542890823R000400040027-1
SUBJECT: (Optional) Efficiency Eva	luations		
FROM:	and and an entering the an interference and an entering the and an entering the angular states and an entering the angul	EXTENSION	NO.
Policy and Plans Group 4E 58, Hqs.			DATE 12 August 1976 STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED FORWARDED		
DD/PSI	8/1-/76	26	Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the
2. PCI-150	0/12/21	h	Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.
3.	8/19/16	<i>V</i>	The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible
Pen h	bendle		suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78
			Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each
5.			of these items would fit the definiti of efficiency evaluation by
6.			determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a
	The state of the s		less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.
c/ pp/-alfr		STAT	Please review the list and determine
8.			if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want
9.			to add or if there are any among these listed you believe should be deleted.
			You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.
10.			Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.
11.			
12.			7 - 7 -
			a spile NW
13.			you letter
14.			mx
15.			
IRM ATO USE PREVIOUS			INTERNAL

FORM 3-62 610 USE PREVIOUS

SECRET CONFIDENTIAL INTERNAL UNCLASSIFIED Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1

Approved		6200ND		ADSHEET0823R000400040027-1
SUBJECT: (Optional) Efficiency E	for a supplied to the supplied of the supplied	i kanada Maria da Maria da Maria Maria da Maria da Ma		
Policy and Plans Grou	n		EXTENSION	NO.
4E 58, Hqs.				DATE 12 August 1976 STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	D RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
c/irg			DQ	Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions
2.				for efficiency evaluation subjects.
3.				The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached,
				based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the defini
5				of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more
6.				efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.
7				Please review the list and determin if there are suggestions for
8.				efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among the listed you believe should be delete
				You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.
10.				Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.
11				1-2 negstne ugon
12				
13				(1) Obna Dalas
14.				Clohyso also
15.				

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects. The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the defining efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Dbjectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definite of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
pased upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definit of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the defining of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
of these items would fit the defini- of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of
letermining if there are ways of
Librarian Lane marriage
achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a
less burdensome way to achieve
program objectives.
Please review the list and determine
f there are suggestions for
efficiency evaluations you want
o add or if there are any among the
isted you believe should be deleted ou may disregard para. 3 of
emo from C/MAS/DDA.
lease provide your response to C/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.
Ars and to
11) /10 additions US
n-A-
1) No additions 08 deletions
Merchan
STAT
)